

# NON-COMPARTMENTAL ANALYSIS VS. TARGET MEDIATED DRUG DISPOSITION MODELING: PREDICTIVE ACCURACY IN INTERSPECIES SCALING OF INTERFERON BETA-1A

## **Background & Purpose**

Predicting the pharmacokinetics (PK) of drugs in humans based on animal species is a mainstay of drug development. For therapeutic macromolecules (biologics), scaling of PK via the subcutaneous (SC) route is of particular interest due to the utility of SC dosing in the ambulatory setting. By and large, publications relating the prediction accuracy of interspecies scaling techniques for biologics focus on the intravenous route. However with a trend towards SC dosing, there is utility in techniques allowing for accurate prediction of human PK based on SC administration in the animal species. Employing non-compartmental (NCA) methods, even when a relevant single-species is used, can result in poor prediction of PK parameters, particularly for volume of distribution (V). Employing population PK/PD approaches for estimating key parameters such as V (or V/F) and clearance (CL or CL/F) may improve the prediction accuracy when combined with single-species scaling (SSS) methods.

The purpose of this research was to test the hypothesis that PK/PD modeling of a single nonhuman primate species will improve the prediction accuracy of V/F and CL/F from a SC administered biologic (interferon beta-1a, IFN $\beta$ ) compared to parameters derived by NCA. Fold-error (FE) within the range of 0.7-1.3 was considered as a reasonable prediction.

# Methods

PK parameters were initially estimated by NCA for 4 rhesus monkeys administered a single SC of IFN 35 mg/kg. Scaling to human values was performed using SSS methods outlined in Table 1. FE was calculated by comparing the mean scaled values to mean observed NCA-derived PK following administration of a single 88 mcg SC dose to 128 healthy males and female participants.

Concentration data for both species were also fitted to an integrated target-mediated drug disposition (TMDD) PK/PD model based on previous work by Mager et. al. (2002, 2003) modified to account for different absorption profiles in the datasets for the two species (Figure 1). A population of 100 monkeys was simulated from the final model and scaled according to the SSS methods employed for the NCA approach. Prediction accuracy was calculated by taking the ratio of the mean scaled values from the simulated data and the mean of the empiric Bayes estimated values for the human model. CL/F was assumed to be the product of the V/F and the internalization rate constant (kint).

#### Dose \*F1 (human only Dose \*F2 Dose \*F3 C= Concentration V= Volume DR\* Q= Inter-compartmental Clearance C<sub>2</sub>, V<sub>2</sub> RF= Free Receptor DR= Drug Receptor Complex DR<sup>\*</sup>= Activated DR Comple P= PD Marker Precursor N= Neopterin (PD Marker)

#### Figure 1: PK/PD Model Of IFNβ-1a and Neopterin Production Following a Single-dose Subcutaneous Injection in Humans and Rhesus Monkeys

| Method                                  | Formula  | Notes  |
|---|--|--|
| Simplified Allometry; Fixed<br>Exponent | θ <sub>human</sub> =θ <sub>animal</sub> *(Weight <sub>human</sub> /Weight <sub>animal</sub> ) <sup>b</sup>       | b, allometric exponent; 0.75<br>to 1.10 with 0.05 increments                     |
| Kallynochron/Elementary<br>Dedrick Plot | y-axis: concentration/(Dose/Weight <sub>species</sub> )<br>x-axis: Time/Weight <sub>species</sub> <sup>1-b</sup> | b, allometric exponent for CL  |
| Liver Blood Flow (LBF)                  | CL <sub>human</sub> =CL <sub>animal</sub> *(LBF <sub>human</sub> /LBF <sub>animal</sub> )                        | LBF <sub>human</sub> = 20.7 mL/min/kg;<br>LB <sub>rhesus</sub> = 43.6 mL/min/kg; |
| Organ Blood Flow (OBF)                  | CL <sub>human</sub> =CL <sub>animal</sub> *(OBF <sub>human</sub> /OBF <sub>animal</sub> )                        | Flow rates obtained from Davies 1993   |

# Model in Rhesus Monkeys



### Figure 2b: IFN $\beta$ -1a (Cobs) and Neopterin (Eobs) vs. IPRED Derived from the PK/PD Model in Humans



# **Offman E & Edginton AN** School of Pharmacy, University of Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

#### Table 1: Single Species Simplified Allometric Scaling Methods

#### Figure 3a: Visual Predictive Check of IFN $\beta$ -1a and Neopterin Derived from the PK/PD Model in Rhesus Monkeys



#### Figure 3b: Visual Predictive Check of IFN $\beta$ -1a and Neopterin Derived from the **PK/PD Model in Humans**



#### **Table 2: PK/PD Model Parameter Estimates for IFN**β-1a in Rhesus Monkeys and Humans

| Rhesus Monkey |          |           | Humans |           |          |          |                |               |
|---------------|----------|-----------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|----------------|---------------|
| Parameter     | Units    | Estimate  | CV%    | Parameter | Units    | Estimate | Bootstrap Mean | Bootstrap CV% |
| V             | mL       | 622.299   | 46.92  | V         | mL       | 11007.8  | 11101.68       | 1.841138      |
| ka            | hr-1     | 0.0103701 | 6.92   | ka2       | hr-1     | 0.132742 | 0.133728       | 1.170292      |
| ka2           | hr-1     | 0.670764  | 9.61   | ka1       | hr-1     | 0.035721 | 0.035606       | 1.257669      |
| F             |          | 0.746212  | 10.83  | F1        |          | 0.104802 | 0.104385       | 0.897475      |
| tlag          | hr       | 0.173572  | 4.39   | F2        |          | 0.478983 | 0.481287       | 1.06712       |
| kint          | hr-1     | 1.26416   | 6.44   | tlag1     | hr       | 7.66037  | 7.692148       | 0.815569      |
| kon           | nM-1hr-1 | 632.024   | 16.48  | tlag2     | hr       | 2.9588   | 2.966992       | 0.951017      |
| koff          | hr-1     | 2.40613   | 9.59   | dur       | hr       | 0.384184 | 0.387031       | 0.91941       |
| Rmax          | nmol     | 0.728996  | 5.91   | V2        | mL       | 115336   | 117209.1       | 2.093091      |
| E0            | ng/mL    | 2.89033   | 12.53  | Q         | mL/hr    | 856081   | 866224.2       | 1.921503      |
| kr            | hr-1     | 0.425961  | 12.28  | kon       | nM-1hr-1 | 6462.79  | 6426.679       | 3.313204      |
| kp            | hr-1     | 0.354536  | 18.00  | koff      | hr-1     | 1.35176  | 1.365677       | 1.58535       |
| kout          | hr-1     | 0.0445191 | 12.68  | kint      | hr-1     | 0.340042 | 0.341406       | 0.519179      |
| Smax          |          | 22409.5   | 14.51  | Rmax      | nmol     | 2.9817   | 3.055875       | 5.834202      |
| SC50          | nmol     | 483.718   | 10.34  | E0        | ng/mL    | 2.39087  | 2.392046       | 1.084665      |
|               |          |           |        | kr        | hr-1     | 0.179604 | 0.184455       | 8.885318      |
|               |          |           |        | kp        | hr-1     | 0.294925 | 0.292961       | 2.194236      |
|               |          |           |        | kout      | hr-1     | 0.28782  | 0.280314       | 7.351103      |
|               |          |           |        | Smax      |          | 9.85576  | 10.48307       | 12.95145      |
|               |          |           |        | SC50      | nmol     | 0.393911 | 0.395951       | 1.438631      |

# Figure 2a: IFN $\beta$ -1a (Cobs) and Neopterin (Eobs) vs. IPRED Derived from the PK/PD

### Results

Based on diagnostics plots (Figures 2a and 2b) including visual predictive check (Figures 3a and 3b), the model was demonstrated to fit both the PK and PD data for the respective species. Parameter estimates for the PK and PD parameters are presented in Table 2. In addition, Bootstrapped estimates and CV% are presented for the human model.

Results comparing prediction accuracy for CL/F and V/F for NCA vs. PK/PD modeling approaches employing the various SSS methods are presented in Tables 3 and 4. Whereas CL/F could be predicted employing NCA with a fixed exponent ranging from 0.85-1 V/F could not. In contrast, V/F was reasonably well predicted when derived from a PK/PD model and scaled employing a fixed exponent of 0.95-1. Scaling by liver blood flow did not result in predictions within 0.7-1.3 regardless of method employed for deriving the PK parameter estimates. When considering the blood flow to other organs, the results varied from 0.11-2.79 for PK/PD model derived CL/F and 0.34-1.25 for NCA derived CL/F. Scaling by kallynochrons (Figures 4a and 4b) demonstrated that normalized concentration curves do not exhibit the same profile shape.

### Discussion

Scaling of biologics administered from the SC route has not been well described in literature and no single method has demonstrated reproducibility. NCA implies clearance from the central compartment which is violated with biologics cleared via TMDD. This data demonstrated that although CL/F may be scaled from NCAderived data, V/F cannot be reasonably approximated and scaled. In contrast, fitting concentration data from monkeys administered IFN $\beta$  via the SC route could be scaled roughly according to body weight. More research is required to establish whether scaling to particular organ blood flow offers any advantages over fixed-exponent scaling. Scaling of SC administered biologics by Dedrick Plots appears of limited potentially due to sparse PK data derived from the animal species. However the shape of the profiles may be indicative of differences in the SC absorption processes between the two species.

#### Table 3: Single-species with Fixed Exponent Prediction Accuracy for Mean Parameters

|        |           | Fixed Exponent |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|--------|-----------|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|        |           | 0.75           | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.05 | 1.10 |
| Method | Parameter | Ratio          |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| PK/PD  | CL/F      | 1.31           | 1.53 | 1.78 | 2.07 | 2.41 | 2.81 | 3.27 | 3.81 |
| NCA    | CL/F      | 0.64           | 0.74 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 1.17 | 1.36 | 1.58 | 1.83 |
| PK/PD  | V/F       | 0.50           | 0.58 | 0.67 | 0.78 | 0.91 | 1.06 | 1.24 | 1.44 |
| NCA    | V/F       | 0.09           | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.26 |

#### Table 4: Single-species Scaling of CL/F by Organ Flow

|                  | Method     |            |  |  |  |
|------------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|
|                  | PK/PD      | NCA        |  |  |  |
| Organ            | Fold-Error | Fold-Error |  |  |  |
| Adipose          | 2.79       | 1.25       |  |  |  |
| Brain            | 2.09       | 0.94       |  |  |  |
| Cardiac Output   | 1.11       | 0.5        |  |  |  |
| Gut              | 1.89       | 0.85       |  |  |  |
| Heart            | 0.86       | 0.34       |  |  |  |
| Hepatic Arterial | 1.26       | 0.57       |  |  |  |
| Kidneys          | 0.11       | 0.87       |  |  |  |
| Liver Blood Flow | 1.43       | 0.64       |  |  |  |
| Muscle           | 1.79       | 0.81       |  |  |  |
| Portal Vein      | 1.48       | 0.67       |  |  |  |
| Skin             | 1.19       | .19 0.53   |  |  |  |
| Spleen           | 0.79       | 0.36       |  |  |  |

# UNIVERSITY OF

#### Figure 4a: Representative Elementary Dedrick Plot with an Exponent for CL/F of 0.85 (NCA Data)



#### Figure 4b: Representative Elementary Dedrick Plot with an Exponent for CL/F of 0.85 (PK/PD Data)



### Conclusion

PK/PD modeling of IFN $\beta$  to derive estimates of V/F in rhesus monkeys appears superior to NCA-derived values, when scaling SC administered drug to humans. No advantage for CL/F scaling was apparent. Additional investigation into the differences in SC absorption of biologics between monkeys and humans is warranted.