

Scientific Challenges for Development of Biosimilar Monoclonal Antibodies

Rafiqul Islam Director, Global Bioanalytical Services Celerion

Presentation outline

- Biosimilars Definitions and Concepts
- Regulatory Framework
- Bioanalytical assay development considerations
 - PK and Immunogenicity assay development
- Summary



What does Biosimilar or Biosimilarity means?

- The biological product is <u>highly similar</u> to the reference product notwithstanding minor differences in clinically inactive components; and
- There is <u>no clinically meaningful differences</u> between the biological product and the reference product in terms of the safety, purity and potency of the product.
- Neither the EU legislation nor the EMEA CHMP guidelines provides a definition of a biosimilar other than it is a product comparable in <u>quality</u>, <u>safety and efficacy</u> to a reference product.
- The <u>acceptable differences</u> between biosimilar and reference products in these three major attributes are not stated.



FDA GENERAL REQUIREMENT

A 351(k) application must include information demonstrating biosimilarity based on data derived from:

- <u>Analytical studies</u> demonstrating that the biological product is "highly similar" to the reference product notwithstanding minor differences in clinically inactive components;
- <u>Animal studies</u> (including the assessment of toxicity); and
- A <u>clinical study or studies</u> (including the assessment of immunogenicity and pharmacokinetics (PK) or pharmacodynamics (PD)) that are sufficient to demonstrate safety, purity, and potency in 1 or more appropriate conditions of use for which the reference product is licensed.

FDA may determine, in its discretion, that an element described above is unnecessary in a 351(k) application.



Totality of the Evidence



Importance of Bioanalytical Data

 <u>Accurate and precise</u> bioanalytical data is critical to establishing comparability between biosimilar and innovator products.



Monoclonal Antibodies

- Monoclonal antibodies have been established as a major product class of biotechnology-derived medicinal products.
- Different mAb products share some properties, e.g. being cytotoxic to their target, or neutralizing a cytokine, but differ in aspects like the mechanism of action.
- They are structurally complex, and may have several functional domains within a single molecule, depending on the Isotype (antigen-binding region, complementbinding region, constant part interacting with Fc receptors).





Monoclonal Antibodies



Infliximab is efficacious in Crohn's disease¹⁾, but etanercept is not²⁾



 European Medicines Agency (EMEA). European Public Assessment Report for Remicade, http://www.emea.europa.eu/humandocs/Humans/EPAR/remicade/temicade.htm (2007).

 Sandborn, W.J. et al: Etanercept for active Crohn's disease: a randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. Gastroenterology 121(5):1088-94 (2001).



Bioanalytical Testing (PK/TK and Immunogenicity testing) – Scientific and Regulatory Gap



Bioanalytical Testing (PK/TK Assay)





Design of Bioanalytical Testing (One PK/ TK Assay)

- Standard curve: Innovator or Biosimilar
- QCs: Innovator and Biosimilar
- Custom reagents: Capture and detection antibodies generated against both innovator and biosimilar. Reagents should be well characterized and cross-verified. Celerion has observed greater than >30% differences between innovator and biosimilar due to differences in reagents.
- Assay parameters to be investiga
 - Accuracy and precision
 - Sensitivity
 - Selectivity
 - Specificity
 - Stability



State of the art technology should be utilized for PK / TK assays

PK/TK Assay (Pre-study validation)



PK/TK Assay (In-study validation)



Design of Bioanalytical Testing (Two PK/ TK Assay)

- If two assays are used (one for Biosimilar and one for Innovator):
 - Same platform?
 - Same sets of reagents?
 - Same assay conditions?
 - Cross-validation use of correction factor
- Results:
 - Challenges in interpreting the results
 - Investigations source of the differences
 - Reagents?
 - Platform?





Bioanalytical Testing (PK/TK and Immunogenicity Assays) – Scientific and Regulatory GAP



Bioanalytical Testing (Immunogenicity Assay) ONE TWO



Design of Bioanalytical Testing (Immunogenicity Assay)



Typical Work Flow



Immunogenicity Assay (Pre-study validation)



State of the art technology should be utilized for Immunogenicity assays

Immunogenicity Assay (In-study validation)



Post-marketing surveillance of immunogenicity

- Post- marketing surveillance of immunogenicity key requirement all biosimilars
- Pre-market clinical testing of immunogenicity is limited and cannot reliably detect rare, but serious immunogenic responses
- Immunogenicity may be predictive of clinical consequences. It is important to understand potential mechanism(s) causing change and determine relevance
- Potential for conflict and confusion if patient treated with both reference and biosimilar products – <u>which product elicited</u> <u>immunogenic response?</u>
- Reference product sponsor and biosimilar firm will have different analytical methods for measuring immunogenicity and may report <u>different results</u> for the same patient samples



Summary

- Monoclonal antibodies are complex molecules
- PK assay one assay should be used to measure both innovator and biosimilar drug.
- Immunogenicity assay two assays should be used to measure anti-drug (innovator and biosimilar) antibodies.
- A robust assay is required to monitor long term immunogenicity assessment.
- Interpretation of results (establishment of biosimilarity) is challenging ; specifically when working with a qualititative immunogenicity assays
- State of the art technologies should be used for both PK and Immunogenicity assays



The Celerion Solution

