Alphalisa - A New, Simple, Highly Sensitive Technology for HTP **Quantification of Small Human Proteins in Matrix** M. Pfenniger, L. Champion, R. Schibli, M. Gröschl, P. Brennecke and P. Struwe **Celerion Switzerland AG, 8320 Fehraltorf, Switzerland**

celerion Translating Science to Medicine

Introduction

With the aim to improve patient tailored therapy and in order to avoid deleterious drug side effects, it is crucial to understand the pharmacokinetic of small therapeutic agents like peptides. In consequence their quantification in biological matrices in a sensitive and reliable way is mandatory. System limitations often observed in traditional immunoassays include narrow analytical ranges and low sensitivity. Moreover, classical ELISAs with their multiple sample processing steps are very time-consuming and rarely transferrable on robotic systems for high throughput (HTP) analysis.

Results

1: Precision & Accuracy

Precision and accuracy of the assay was done by analyzing standard curves (STDs) and all 6 quality control (QC) levels of the Analyte in 3 separate runs (Table 1A & 1B). Each run contained freshly prepared STDs and QCs.

Table 1A: Precision and accuracy of STDs

| Nominal (pM) | STD1 500 pM | STD2 1000 pM | STD3 3000 pM | STD4 10000 pM | STD5 25000 pM | STD6 50000 pM | STD7 80000 pM | STD8 100000 pM |
|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| mean | 483 | 1010 | 3077 | 10110 | 23967 | 48233 | 88433 | 102333 |
| SD | 30.66 | 17.32 | 95.04 | 155.88 | 416.33 | 2402.78 | 1159.02 | 1154.70 |
| CV [%] | 6.4 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| nom [%] | 96.5 | 101.0 | 102.6 | 101.1 | 95.9 | 96.5 | 110.5 | 102.3 |
| n | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |

Table 4. Interference of Analyte analogs

| Mean rec | Mean recovery [%] | | LLOQ 500 pM | ULOQ 100 000 pM | Status |
|----------|-------------------|-----|----------------|-----------------------|------------|
| | 2000 pM | BLQ | 102.2 | 107.0 | acceptable |
| Analog 1 | 400 pM | BLQ | 89.0 | 90.3 | acceptable |
| | 10 000 pM | BLQ | 88.0 | 79.6 | acceptable |
| Analog 2 | 2000 pM | BLQ | 98.4 | 85.3 | acceptable |
| | 10 000 pM | BLQ | 98.6 | 84.2 | acceptable |
| Analog 3 | 2000 pM | BLQ | 92.6 | 92.6 | acceptable |
| | 800 pM | BLQ | 95.0 | 84.8 | acceptable |
| Analog 4 | 300 pM | BLQ | 99.6 | 79.4 | acceptable |
| | 500 pM | BLQ | 105.0 | 82.9 | acceptable |
| Analog 5 | 200 рМ | BLQ | 106.0 | 80.2 | acceptable |

Goals

To overcome the above-mentioned limitations, we utilized a simple and robust AlphaLisa luminescence assay for the quantification of a small protein drug (hereafter referred as "Analyte"), commonly used in the treatment of diabetic patients. In order to facilitate HTP analysis, the method was successfully transferred to a liquid handling robotic system.

Analytical Method

The AlphaLisa technology is based on the proximity of two types of beads, donor and acceptor beads, which are brought together by a bridging Analyte. Upon excitation of the donor beads singlet oxygen species are produced and electrons freed by this reaction are transferred to acceptor beads which ultimately emit light. This light emission is proportional to the amount of Analyte present in the sample. (Figure 1). In detail Analyte-specific antibody (AB1) conjugated to acceptor beads and biotinylated Analyte-specific antibody (AB2) are used to capture the Analyte in the sample during incubation step over night. Next day, streptavidin-coated donor beads are added and incubated for 1 hour. In the presence of the Analyte, the acceptor beads and donor beads are brought together and after excitation, light emission is quantified.

Figure 1: Principle of AlphaLisa



Table 1B: Precision and accuracy of QCs

| Nominal (pM) | LLOQ 500 pM | LQC 1500 pM | MQC 12 000 pM | HQC 75 000 pM | ULOQ 100 000 pM | DQC 500000 pM (diluted 1:10) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| n (runs) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| n (replicates) | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| nom [%] | 97.4 | 85.1 | 99.6 | 93.4 | 94.2 | 99.5 |
| Between Run Precision (%CV) | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 8.5 | 6.3 |
| Within Run Precision (%CV) | 7.4 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 8.5 | 6.1 |
| Total Variation (%CV) | 8.5 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.8 | 12.0 | 8.8 |

2: Stability in Matrix

The stability of three duplicates of high quality control (HQC) and low quality control (LQC) samples was analyzed in different conditions against freshly prepared STDs. The Analyte showed stability at each tested condition (Table 2).

Table 2: Analyte stability

| QC level | LQC 15 | 00 pM | HQC 75000 pM | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Nominal [pM] | mean recovery [%] | status | mean recovery [%] | status | | |
| Non-diluted Benchtop 22h | 113.1 | 3/3 acceptable | 118.0 | 3/3 acceptable | | |
| Diluted Benchtop 6h | 108.4 | 3/3 acceptable | 113.6 | 3/3 acceptable | | |
| Freeze-thaw (6 cycles) | 104.0 | 3/3 acceptable | 114.8 | 3/3 acceptable | | |

5: Dilution linearity

A sample with high Analyte concentration (100 x ULOQ: 10 000 000 pM) was serially diluted 1:4 in human serum to reach concentrations spanning the analytical range. The ULOQ response is indicated as a red line (Figure 4). Dilution integrity was verified up to dilution factor of 16 384.

Figure 4: Dilution Linearity



6: Assay Automation

Analytical Challenges and Solutions

Assay Buffer

For optimal performance, AlphaLisa assay requires special assay buffers, several of which are commercially available. Here, our costeffective, in-house prepared buffer with carefully chosen detergent and blocking reagents enabled a fully functional assay with a broad analytical range (500 – 100 000 pM) for the detection of the Analyte (Figure 2).

Figure 2: Analytical range using in-house assay buffer



3: Selectivity

Recovery of the Analyte was demonstrated by spiking 10 healthy individuals (Table 3A) as well as ten type I (Table 3B) and type II (Table 3C) diabetic patient serum samples at LLOQ, HQC or at the upper level of quantitation (ULOQ). In all cases, the recovery was within acceptance. All non-spiked samples (blank) were below limit of quantitation (BLQ).

Table 3A. Analyte recovery in normal human sera

| Spiking | Blank | LLOQ 500 pM | HQC 75 000 pM |
|-------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|
| Individuals | 10/10 BLQ | 10/10 acceptable | 10/10 acceptable |
| Mean [pM] | N/AP | 457 | 78 770 |
| SD | N/AP | 24.3 | 3495 |
| CV [%] | N/AP | 5.31 | 4.44 |
| Mean recovery [%] | N/AP | 91.4 | 105 |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Pool | BLQ | acceptable | acceptable |

Table 3B. analyte recovery in type I diabetic patient sera

| Spiking | Blank | LLOQ 500 pM | ULOQ100 000 pM | | |
|-------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Individuals | 10/10 BLQ | 10/10 acceptable | 10/10 acceptable | | |
| Mean [pM] | N/AP | 548 | 96 500 | | |
| SD | N/AP | 28.9 | 4065 | | |
| CV [%] | N/AP | 5.28 | 4.21 | | |
| Mean recovery [%] | N/AP | 109.5 | 97 | | |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 | | |

To enable HTP analysis, the 2-day method was automated as follows:

Day 1: Sample dilutions and addition of antibody mixture (AB1conjugated acceptor beads and biotinylated AB2) were performed using Hamilton liquid handling system with MICROLAB VENUS Two software.

<u>Day 2:</u> Addition of donor beads was done with Tecan Freedom EVO system (EVOware Plus software) connected to a Tecan Infinite M1000 Pro plate reader.

Precision and Accuracy (P&A) of the robot run (Table 5A) were comparable to that of manual run (Table 5B). Assay automation enables HTP analysis of up to 480 samples per day.

Table 5A. P&A of automated method

| | LLOQ 500 pM | LQC 1500 рМ | MQC 12 000 pM | НQС 75 000 рМ | ULOQ 100 000 pM | DQC 500000 pM (diluted 1:10) |
|---------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| mean | 458 | 1377 | 10902 | 71457 | 96646 | 44668 |
| SD | 55.42 | 114.25 | 1548.32 | 5020.28 | 7526.59 | 4967.46 |
| CV [%] | 12.1 | 8.3 | 14.2 | 7.0 | 7.8 | 11.1 |
| nom [%] | 91.7 | 91.8 | 90.8 | 95.3 | 96.6 | 89.3 |
| n | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

Table 5B. P&A of manual method

| | LLOQ 500 pM | LQC 1500 pM | MQC 12 000 pM | HQC 75 000 pM | ULOQ 100 000 pM | DQC 500000 pM (diluted 1:10) |
|--------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| mean | 506 | 1498 | 12583 | 72667 | 98150 | 52350 |
| SD | 45.83 | 61.13 | 453.50 | 3647.28 | 8152.48 | 3371.50 |
| CV [%] | 91 | <u> </u> | 3.6 | 5.0 | 83 | 64 |

Plate drift

AlphaLisa assays are often done using special plates (for example half-area or 384-well plates) which, depending on the reader and plate type, can have signal drift-problems. Therefore, to enable accurate reading throughout the plate, it is important to check the plate geometry within the reader software. Here, adjustment of Costar plate geometry (Tecan Infinite 1000 Pro reader with Magellan 7.2 software) significantly improved signal homogeneity (Figure 2A, overall %CV 7.15) when compared to a standard plate setting (Figure 2B, overall %CV 9.81).

| A: | Bottom right well position | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|----------------------------|----------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|--------------|
| Л. | Distance from top | 74623 | μm | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Distance from left | 113313 | μm | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 1 | |
| | | | Α | 914 | 1001 | 814 | 934 | 1041 | 749 | 832 | 883 | 899 | 957 | 851 | 962 | 1 | |
| | | | В | 912 | 1015 | 925 | 899 | 907 | 913 | 787 | 944 | 971 | 840 | 928 | 889 | | |
| | | . | С | 947 | 907 | 896 | 960 | 879 | 966 | 1025 | 923 | 843 | 898 | 847 | 870 | | |
| | | | D | 893 | 768 | 925 | 815 | 840 | 901 | 902 | 880 | 821 | 954 | 889 | 960 | | |
| | | | E | 898 | 969 | 819 | 865 | 776 | 1074 | 949 | 918 | 809 | 957 | 931 | 927 | | |
| | | | F | 934 | 885 | 822 | 917 | 1010 | 900 | 962 | 888 | 747 | 930 | 888 | 859 | Mean | 895 |
| | | 9 | G | 861 | 857 | 820 | 920 | 955 | 826 | 909 | 832 | 890 | 863 | 820 | 956 | SD | 63.96 |
| | | | н | 911 | 870 | 775 | 868 | 853 | 911 | 942 | 767 | 894 | 857 | 928 | 910 | %CV | 7.15 |
| B: | Bottom right well position | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D . | Distance from top | 74240 | μm | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D. | | | μm | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| υ. | Distance from top | 74240 | _ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | | |
| D. | Distance from top | 74240 | _ | 1 755 | 2 803 | 3 816 | 4 950 | 5 | 6 682 | 7 767 | 8 | 9 816 | 10 758 | 11 696 | 12 887 | | |
| υ. | Distance from top | 74240 | μm | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| U. | Distance from top | 74240 | µm A | 755 | 803 | 816 | 950 | 854 | 682 | 767 | 888 | 816 | 758 | 696 | 887 | | |
| U. | Distance from top | 74240 | µm A B | 755 809 | 803 924 | 816 745 | 950 752 | 854 819 | 682 878 | 767 804 | 888 766 | 816 891 | 758 719 | 696 966 | 887 781 | | |
| U. | Distance from top | 74240 | µm A B C | 755 809 810 | 803 924 698 | 816 745 778 | 950 752 817 | 854 819 888 | 682 878 857 | 767 804 842 | 888 766 769 | 816 891 944 | 758 719 913 | 696 966 839 | 887 781 815 | | |
| D. | Distance from top | 74240 | A B C D | 755 809 810 857 | 803 924 698 801 | 816 745 778 743 | 950 752 817 766 | 854 819 888 826 | 682 878 857 875 | 767 804 842 822 | 888 766 769 750 | 816 891 944 768 | 758 719 913 721 | 696 966 839 918 | 887 781 815 752 | Mean | 776 |
| D. | Distance from top | 74240 | A B C D E | 755 809 810 857 748 | 803 924 698 801 781 | 816 745 778 743 615 | 950 752 817 766 790 | 854 819 888 826 827 | 682 878 857 875 761 | 767 804 842 822 800 | 888 766 769 750 798 | 816 891 944 768 792 | 758 719 913 721 757 | 696 966 839 918 769 | 887 781 815 752 820 | Mean SD | 776 76.16 |

Figure 3A & B: Adjustment of half-area plate geometry

Table 3C. analyte recovery in type II diabetic patient sera

| Spiking | Blank | LLOQ 500 pM | ULOQ100 000 pM |
|-------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|
| Individuals | 10/10 BLQ | 10/10 acceptable | 10/10 acceptable |
| Mean [pM] | N/AP | 540 | 94 810 |
| SD | N/AP | 40.7 | 3773 |
| CV [%] | N/AP | 7.54 | 3.98 |
| Mean recovery [%] | | | 95 |
| n | 10 | 10 | 10 |

4: Assay Specificity

To examine the potential interference of various Analyte analogs normal human serum was spiked with the Analyte (LLOQ and ULOQ) and with high or low concentrations of 5 analog molecules (Table 4). None of the analogs interfered with the assay, demonstrating high assay specificity.

9.1 4.1 **J.**0 **0**.C 0.4 0.0 101.2 99.9 96.9 98.2 104.9 104.7 nom [%] 6 6 6 6 6

Discussion and Conclusions

AlphaLisa is an innovative technology allowing for the detection of small therapeutic proteins within a large analytical range. In the present study we showed the successful implementation of this novel technology in order to detect a small protein drug used to treat diabetic patients. During development all key assay parameters (P&A, selectivity etc.) were met and lastly the assay was transferred on robotic systems for HTP analysis, making this new technology a very attractive, simply and cost effective tool for bioanalytical assay development.

Correspondence

- maija.pfenniger@celerion.com
- patrick.brennecke@celerion.com

Poster presentation at EBF 2018

www.celerion.com